Authors
(The submission should include the following information for each author:
• Name,
• College affiliation (UC, COCE, or CETA),
• Email address,
• Major,
• Team name (if applicable)
Please indicate the corresponding author and add his/her detailed contact information.)

Advisor
(Name, college affiliation, title, department, email address)

Summary
(<Provide a succinct paragraph describing your project (a maximum of 100 words) that can be used for promotion at the conference.>)

Slide Template

1. Project Title and Authors
2. Problem and Motivation
   (Describe the problem being addressed. Explain its community significance and your reasons for seeking a solution to this problem.)
3. Rationale (data analysis & conclusions)
   (Describe what your team believes to be the most significant underlying factors. Explain the data you gathered and how you analyzed it to reach this conclusion.)
4. Proposed solution (2 slides?)
   (Describe your proposed solution to the problem, in detail. How does it address the underlying factors? What is the estimated timeline and cost? Who are the agencies or groups who should be involved in implementing it? You may use up to two slides for this section.)
5. Educational Component
   (How will your proposed solution aid community engagement and education? What are some sample curricula or questions?)
6. Contributions and Uniqueness
   (Highlight the novelty of your solution ... What key and/or surprising insights have you gained from your research related to this problem?)
7. Sources
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>Exemplary (100%)</th>
<th>Proficient (85%)</th>
<th>Needs Improvement (55%)</th>
<th>Panelist Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abstract</td>
<td>Meets “Proficient” criteria and abstract is clear and concise (100 words or less), providing an exceptional summary of the team’s proposed solution.</td>
<td>Provides brief, audience-appropriate abstract (100 words or less) that highlights the issue and promotes the team’s proposed solution.</td>
<td>Provides brief abstract (100 words or less), but the response contains inaccuracies, omits key details, or is not appropriate for their intended audience.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Originality of Idea</td>
<td>Meets “Proficient” criteria and the team’s proposed solution contains many creative, innovative, and/or original components.</td>
<td>Proposed idea seeks a solution to the selected issue and contains some creative, innovative, and/or original components.</td>
<td>Proposed idea seeks a solution to the selected issue, but does not include any creative, innovative, and/or original components for addressing the issue.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Argumentation</td>
<td>Meets “Proficient” criteria and description of solution is comprehensively portrayed.</td>
<td>Describes a solution to the selected issue and includes descriptions with supporting evidence.</td>
<td>Describes a solution to the selected issue, but description is cursory, or contains inaccuracies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Component</td>
<td>Meets “Proficient” criteria and provides specific explanations, including an in-depth look into the relationship between the solution and the local community.</td>
<td>Provides explanation on how solution will aid community engagement and education and includes sample curricula and/or questions.</td>
<td>Provides a cursory explanation on how the solution will aid community engagement and education.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exemplary (100%)</td>
<td>Proficient (85%)</td>
<td>Needs Improvement (55%)</td>
<td>Panelist Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation Quality</strong></td>
<td>Presentation is free of errors related to citations, grammar, spelling, syntax, and organization, and is presented in a professional and easy-to-read format.</td>
<td>Submission has no major errors related to citations, grammar, spelling, syntax, and organization.</td>
<td>Submission has major errors related to citations, grammar, spelling, syntax, and/or organization that negatively impact the presentation and articulation of main ideas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pushing the Boundaries</strong></td>
<td>Meets “Proficient” criteria and contributes many new ideas, directions, and/or knowledge to help address the issue.</td>
<td>Proposed solution incorporates a unique and novel approach and contributes some new ideas, directions, and/or knowledge to help address the issue.</td>
<td>Proposed solution did not contribute any new ideas, directions, and/or knowledge to help address the issue.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>